# Eye movements and motor programming in a Time-To-Contact task

Edgard Morya<sup>1</sup>\*

\* Marco Bertolassi<sup>1#</sup> Carlos H. Morimoto<sup>2+</sup> Ro

si<sup>1#</sup> Adhemar Pettri Filho<sup>1\$</sup> Ronald Ranyaud<sup>1&</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. Fisiologia e Biofísica, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas I, Univ. de São Paulo, Brasil <sup>2</sup> Dept. de Ciência da Computação – Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Univ. de São Paulo, Brasil

#### 1 Introduction

In previous experiments investigating motor control in a Time-To-Contact task [Morya et al., 2003], events occurring 400-600 ms prior to contact (but not earlier or later) caused volunteers to anticipate their estimate of when contact occurred. Many such mislocalization or mistiming effects have been discussed in the literature [Nijhuan, 1994; van Beers et al. 2001]. In preliminary eye-tracking experiments [Morya et al. 2004], with a simplified version of the task, involuntary shifts in gaze suggested the presence of attentional shifts as volunteers prepared to respond, that might be associated with their anticipations. To better understand the factors involved in these observations, gaze was sistematically recorded changing the speed of the moving target, and with different instructions as to where the volunteers should look as they performed the Time-To-Contact task.

## 2 Methods

A computer screen presented two small circles, vertically aligned. The upper circle was always stationary, and at the beginning of each trial the lower circle started to move up with one of three possible velocities, so as to overlap with the upper circle 956, 1352 or 1911 ms later. Eight participants had their eyes tracked as they prepared to incline a joystick at the exact moment of the superposition of these two circles, under three different conditions: a) free gaze; b) fixating the stationary middle circle and c) following the moving lower circle with their eyes

- <sup>#</sup> e-mail mlassi@icb.usp.br
- <sup>\$</sup> e-mail adhemar@icb.usp.br
- + e-mail hitoshi@ime.usp.br
- <sup>&</sup> e-mail ranvaud@usp.br

ETRA 2006, San Diego, California, 27–29 March 2006.

© 2006 ACM 1-59593-305-0/06/0003 \$5.00

#### **3** Results

With free gaze participants were most likely to be fixating the stationary circle when contact occurred. When asked to fix the stationary upper circle, participants had no trouble in following instructions. When asked to follow the moving circle, on most trials participants were not able to pursue the target all the way to contact, but switched their gaze to the stationary upper circle some time before contact. When the speed of the moving target was varied, the shift to the stationary target (t-test, P=0.40) and correspondingly different times before coincidence (t-test, P<0.05), rather than the other way around.

## 4 Conclusion

The spatial aspects of the task, which include the inhomogeneous distribution of receptors in the retina and the different processing mechanisms associated with different retinal areas, seem to be a more severe bottleneck than the temporal aspects of the task, more associated with central processing of both sensory input and motor programming.

#### Acknowledgments

Supported by CNPq (Grant # 141951/2002-8) and FAPESP (Grant # 04/07963-0).

#### References

- MORYA, E., RANVAUD, R., MACHADO-PINHEIRO, W. 2003. Dynamics of visual feedback in a laboratory simulation of a penalty kick *Journal of Sports Sciences* 21:87-95
- MORYA, E., SAVELSBERGH, G., FERLAZZO, F., RANVAUD, R. 2004. Effects of decision processes on the temporal precision of coincidence anticipation. XIX Reunião Anual da Federação de Sociedades de Biologia Experimental, Caxambu
- NIJHAWAN R. 1994. Motion extrapolation in catching. *Nature* 370, 256–257.
- van BEERS R. J., WOLPERT D. M. AND HAGGARD P., 2001. Sensorimotor Integration Compensates for Visual Localization Errors During Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements *Journal of Neurophysiology* 85, 1914-1922.

<sup>\*</sup> e-mail edmorya@icb.usp.br

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$  2006 by the Association for Computing Machinery, Inc.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from Permissions Dept, ACM Inc., fax +1 (212) 869-0481 or e-mail permissions@acm.org.